Formosa Journal of Science and Technology (FJST)
Vol. 5, No. 2, 2026: 503-514

Strategic Change Management Practices for Enhancing Organizational Adaptability in Dynamic Environments

Wahyudi
Sekolah Tinggi Ilmu Ekonomi Semarang
Corresponding Author: Wahyudi wahyudiwahyuadi2@gmail.com

A R T I C L E I N F O

Keywords: Strategic Change Management, Organizational Adaptability, Dynamic Environments, Organisasi Publik

Received : 27, December
Revised : 29, January
Accepted: 28, February

©2026 Wahyudi: This is an open-access article distributed under the termsof the Creative Commons Atribusi 4.0 Internasional.

A B S T R A C T

Changes in the increasingly dynamic organizational environment demand the implementation of strategic change management that is not only normative, but also contextual and adaptive. However, empirical studies that explore the real practice of strategic change management in the context of public organizations in Indonesia are still relatively limited, especially at the micro-organizational level. This research aims to examine in depth the strategic change management practices applied in improving organizational adaptability in a dynamic environment. The research uses a qualitative approach with an exploratory case study design conducted on one Regional Apparatus Organization of the Semarang City Government. Data was collected through semi-structured in-depth interviews with four purposively selected key informants, consisting of one strategic leader, two change implementers, and one affected staff, and supported by an analysis of organizational documents. Data analysis was carried out using thematic analysis techniques through the stages of open coding, axial coding, and selective coding. The results show that organizational adaptability is influenced by participatory strategic change management practices, continuous change communication, adaptive leadership, and flexibility in adjusting policies and work procedures. This study concludes that contextual and dynamically based strategic change management practices of local public organizations are able to strengthen organizational adaptability in a sustainable manner. These findings contribute to the enrichment of the literature on strategic change management by offering a model of organizational adaptability based on the context of Indonesian public organizations and provide practical implications for change management in the public sector

ISSN-E: 2964-6804
DOI: https://doi.org/10.55927/fjst.v5i2.13
https://traformosapublisher.org/index.php/fjst

INTRODUCTION

The current global organizational environment is characterized by an increasingly high level of uncertainty due to the acceleration of digital transformation, technological disruption, changes in public policy, and post-pandemic socio-economic dynamics. These conditions require organizations to focus not only on internal stability, but also on the ability to adapt strategically to rapid and complex external changes. Global studies show that organizational failure to manage change strategically often leads to decreased performance, internal resistance, and mismatches between strategy and implementation (Burnes, 2020). Therefore, strategic change management is a crucial element in maintaining the sustainability and relevance of the organization in a dynamic environment.

In the context of public organizations, the challenge of adaptability has become increasingly complex due to the attachment to regulations, bureaucracy, and high demands for public accountability. Public sector organizations are faced with pressure to improve service quality, efficiency, and responsiveness without losing their institutional legitimacy. International research confirms that public organizations require a different approach to change management than the private sector, especially one that emphasizes participation, communication, and adaptive leadership (Kuipers et al., 2020). This phenomenon is also relevant in Indonesia, where the dynamics of decentralization policies and bureaucratic reform continue to demand structural and cultural changes at the organizational level.

Although the literature on strategic change management has developed rapidly, most studies still focus on the development of normative and prescriptive models that are universal. Such approaches tend to ignore the specific context of the organization, especially in the public sector in developing countries. Some researchers criticize that the lack of empirical studies based on local contexts leads to a gap between the theory and practice of organizational change (Caldwell, 2021). Thus, there is an urgent need to explore how strategic change management practices are real-world in public organizations at the micro level.

Previous research has also shown that organizational adaptability is not only determined by the design of formal strategies, but by social processes that actively involve organizational actors. Aspects such as change communication, organizational learning, and employee engagement have proven to play a crucial role in the success of long-term change. However, most studies still rely on cross-organizational quantitative approaches that are less able to capture internal dynamics in depth (Rafferty et al., 2021). This creates a research gap related to understanding the strategic change process contextually and based on the experience of organizational actors.

In Indonesia, empirical studies on strategic change management in public organizations are still relatively limited, especially those that use an in-depth qualitative approach. Existing research generally focuses on macro bureaucratic reform or policy evaluation, without exploring the practice of change at the operational level of the organization. In fact, the adaptability of public

organizations is greatly influenced by the interaction between leadership, work structure, and local organizational culture (Dwivedi et al., 2022). This gap shows the need for research that is able to explain how strategic change is implemented and interpreted by organizational actors directly.

Based on the background and gaps of the research, this study aims to examine in depth the strategic change management practices applied in improving organizational adaptability to a dynamic environment. This research specifically focuses on public organizations at the regional level, by examining the role of leadership, change communication, participation of organizational actors, and flexibility of policies and work procedures. The exploratory case study approach was chosen to obtain a comprehensive and contextual understanding of the dynamics of strategic change within the organization (Galli, 2023).

This research is expected to make a theoretical contribution by enriching the literature on strategic change management through the development of an understanding of organizational adaptability based on the context of Indonesian public organizations. Practically, the findings of this research can be a reference for leaders and managers of public organizations in designing and implementing strategic changes that are more adaptive and sustainable. In addition, this research also provides policy implications for local governments in strengthening organizational capacity to face changing environmental dynamics. Thus, this study is not only academically relevant, but also has high applicative value to contemporary public management practices (Oreg et al., 2023).

THEORETICAL REVIEW

Strategic Change Management in a Dynamic Organizational Environment

Rapid and unpredictable changes in the organizational environment are driving the development of a strategic change management approach that emphasizes alignment between long-term vision and adaptive responses to external dynamics. Recent studies confirm that strategic change management is no longer understood as a linear process, but rather as an ongoing mechanism integrated with organizational learning and strategic decision-making (Al-Haddad & Kotnour, 2020). In this perspective, strategic change is positioned as an organizational capability that allows institutions to respond proactively to uncertainty. The approach emphasizes the importance of structural flexibility and interpretive capabilities of organizational actors in the face of complex environmental changes.

Organizational Adaptability as a Dynamic Capability

Organizational adaptability is understood as the collective ability to continuously adjust strategies, structures, and work processes to maintain performance in a changing environment. Contemporary literature associate's adaptability with the concept of dynamic capabilities that emphasizes sensing, seizing, and transforming as the core processes of adaptive organizations (Teece, 2020). Empirical research shows that organizations with high levels of adaptability tend to have internal mechanisms that encourage strategic reflection

and policy experimentation. Thus, adaptability is not just a reactive response, but is the result of structured and long-term oriented managerial practices.

Adaptive Leadership in the Process of Strategic Change

Adaptive leadership plays a central role in the success of strategic change management, especially in the context of public organizations fraught with structural limitations. Adaptive leaders not only play the role of decision-makers, but also facilitators of learning and mediators of diverse interests. International studies show that adaptive leadership styles are able to increase the acceptance of change through the creation of common meaning and the management of organizational tensions (Heifetz et al.2021). This kind of leadership is crucial in ensuring that strategic changes translate effectively into day-to-day work practices.

Participation and Communication in Change Management

The participation of organizational actors and continuous communication of change are key elements in strengthening organizational adaptability. Recent research confirms that employee involvement in the change process increases a sense of ownership and reduces resistance to new policies (Meyer & Stensaker, 2022). Dialogical change communication also allows organizations to capture feedback in real-time and adjust implementation strategies. In the context of public organizations, this practice is becoming increasingly important given the complexity of the interests and expectations of internal and external stakeholders.

Strategic Change Management in the Public Sector

Strategic change management in the public sector has different characteristics compared to the private sector, especially related to public service objectives, legitimacy, and accountability. The literature shows that changes in public organizations are often influenced by external institutional and policy pressures, thus requiring a more contextual approach (Fernandez & Rainey, 2021). Cross-border research emphasizes the importance of adapting strategic change models to the bureaucratic and cultural characteristics of local organizations. This indicates that successful change practices in the public sector rely heavily on the ability of organizations to translate external demands into adaptive internal policies.

Research Gaps and Study Relevance

Although various studies have examined strategic change management and organizational adaptability, there are still limitations to research that delves deeply into change practices at the micro level of public organizations. Most of the research is macro and normative, so it is not able to explain the internal dynamics experienced by organizational actors in the change process. Researchers such as Vakola and Petrou (2023) emphasize the need for contextual qualitative studies to understand how strategic change is implemented and interpreted in practice. This gap is an important basis for this research to make a more contextual and relevant empirical contribution.

METHODOLOGY

Research Approach and Design

This research uses a qualitative approach with an exploratory case study design, which was chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of strategic change management practices in the context of public organizations. The qualitative approach allows researchers to explore the meanings, perceptions, and social dynamics that underlie the process of organizational change contextually. The exploratory case study design was used because the study focuses on contemporary phenomena that are complex and tied to the real context of the organization, where the boundaries between phenomenon and context cannot be explicitly separated (Yin, 2022). Thus, this approach is considered most appropriate to explain organizational adaptability practices holistically.

Research Location

The location of the research was determined in one Regional Apparatus Organization of the Semarang City Government, which was chosen purposively because it was or had undergone a process of strategic change in the implementation of its organizational duties and functions. The research population includes all organizational actors who are directly involved or affected by the change process. The technique of taking participants uses non-probability sampling with the purposive sampling method, so that participants are selected based on their knowledge, experience, and involvement in the change process. This study involved four key informants, consisting of one strategic leader, two change implementers, and one affected staff, who were considered to have met the principle of information adequacy in qualitative research (Guest et al. 2020).

Data Collection Techniques

The data collection technique was carried out through semi-structured in-depth interviews and analysis of organizational documents. Semi-structured interviews are used to obtain rich and flexible data, while maintaining consistency in the theme of questions between informants. The interview guide was prepared based on the conceptual framework of strategic change management and organizational adaptability, and was developed iteratively during the research process. Document analysis includes internal policy documents, performance reports, and organizational work guidelines, which are used to reinforce context and triangulize data. This multi-source approach aims to increase the credibility and depth of research findings (Flick, 2022).

Validity of Research Data

To ensure the validity of the data, this study applies a trustworthiness strategy that includes credibility, dependability, confirmability, and transferability. Credibility is strengthened through triangulation of data sources and confirmation of interview results to informants (member checking). Dependability is maintained by systematically documenting the entire research process, while confirmability is achieved through reflective recording of researchers and trail audits. Transferability is supported by presenting a detailed description of the research context, so that readers can assess the relevance of the findings to other similar contexts (Nowell et al. 2021).

Research Procedure

The research procedure is carried out gradually and systematically, starting from the identification of problems and the determination of the research focus, the preparation of interview instruments, to the collection of field data. The next stage includes verbatim transcription of interview results, data organization, and analysis of supporting documents. The entire process of data collection and processing is carried out ethically by maintaining the confidentiality of the identity of the informant and obtaining consent for conscious participation. This procedure is designed to ensure that the data obtained is accurate, relevant, and appropriate for the research objectives (Creswell & Poth, 2021).

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis was carried out using thematic analysis, which included the stages of open coding, axial coding, and selective coding. In the open coding stage, the data is inductively encoded to identify initial concepts. The axial coding stage is used to group code into categories and subthemes, while selective coding aims to formulate a core theme that represents strategic change management practices and organizational adaptability. The analysis process is carried out iteratively with the help of NVivo 12 software to facilitate qualitative data management and increase the transparency of the analysis. This approach allows researchers to produce findings that are systematic, in-depth, and based on empirical data (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

RESEARCH RESULTS

Participatory Strategic Change Management Practices

The findings of the study show that participatory strategic change management practices are the main foundation in strengthening organizational adaptability. Participation is not only understood as formal involvement in policy implementation, but as an active process that allows various organizational actors to be involved from the change planning stage. Strategic leaders consciously open spaces for dialogue and discussion across work units to gather input, identify potential resistance, and build a common understanding regarding the direction of change. This pattern reinforces a sense of belonging to change and encourages collective commitment in the organizational adaptation process.

The results of in-depth interviews revealed that the involvement of organizational actors since the beginning of the change had a significant impact on the acceptance and smooth implementation of new policies. Strategic leaders emphasize that unilaterally designed changes tend to generate latent resistance, while participatory approaches actually accelerate the process of organizational adjustment. This is reflected in the following statement: "We can no longer make changes only at the leadership level. It is precisely the input from the implementers and staff that makes the policy more realistic and can be implemented in the field." (PS-01, November 12, 2025). Similar views were also conveyed by change implementers who were directly involved in the implementation of policies. They consider that participation provides space to convey operational constraints as well as propose contextual solutions, so that

change is not merely instructive. As expressed by one of the executors of the change: "When we were involved from the beginning, it felt more responsible. If there is a problem, we not only complain, but also find a way out." (PP-02, 18 November 2025).

Continuous and Dialogical Change Communication

The second theme that emerged from the analysis results was the importance of continuous change communication in supporting organizational adaptability. Change communication does not stop at the initial delivery of information, but takes place continuously through various formal and informal channels. Organizations develop two-way communication patterns, allowing for clarification, feedback, and adjustment of messages according to the dynamics of implementing changes in the field.

Based on the interview results, consistent communication helps reduce uncertainty and confusion among employees, especially in the early phases of change. Change implementers explained that open communication makes them better understand the rationale behind the new policy and the organization's expectations for the change. This is reflected in the following statement: "Every time there is a change, there is always a follow-up explanation. Not only once socialized, but continues to be repeated and discussed according to developments" (PP-01, November 15, 2025). From the perspective of affected staff, dialogical communication provides a sense of psychological security and reduces anxiety about the impact of changes on daily tasks. Staff feel they have the space to ask questions and raise concerns without fear of being blamed. As stated by the staff informant: "We are calmer because if there is a new policy, it is always explained slowly and we can ask questions directly if we don't understand" (ST-01, 20 November 2025).

The Role of Adaptive Leadership in Managing the Dynamics of Change

The results show that adaptive leadership plays a key role in directing and maintaining the sustainability of the strategic change process. Leaders not only serve as decision-makers, but also as a link between external demands and the internal reality of the organization. Adaptive leadership is reflected in the ability of leaders to read situations, adjust leadership styles, and respond flexibly to organizational dynamics.

Interviews with strategic leaders reveal that adaptive leadership demands a readiness to change approaches when the initial strategy is not working effectively. Leaders realize that change often doesn't run linear and requires repetitive adjustments. This is illustrated in the following statement: "Sometimes the initial plan has to be changed because the conditions on the field are different. As a leader, I must be ready to adjust, not impose" (PS-01, November 22, 2025). The change implementer also assessed that the open and responsive attitude of the leadership strengthened trust and facilitated coordination across work units. Adaptive leadership encourages the courage to experiment without fear of failure. As expressed by one of the executors of the change: "If the leadership is open and willing to listen, we will dare to try new ways without worrying about being blamed" (PP-02, 25 November 2025).

Flexibility in Adjustment of Work Policies and Procedures

The final theme that emerged from the analysis was organizational flexibility in adjusting policies and work procedures in response to changing environments. The organization does not implement policies rigidly, but rather provides room for adaptation according to operational conditions and the needs of work units. This flexibility allows organizations to maintain work effectiveness despite the face of regulatory changes and dynamic external demands.

The results of the interviews show that policy adjustments are often made through periodic evaluations and discussions across organizational levels. The strategic leader emphasized that non-adaptive policies have the potential to hinder performance and reduce employee motivation. This is reflected in the following statement: "The rule must help work, not hinder it. If it is not in accordance with the conditions, we will evaluate and adjust" (PS-01, November 27, 2025). In terms of affected staff, the flexibility of work procedures is felt directly in the implementation of daily tasks. Staff assessed that policy adjustments provide room to work more effectively without neglecting accountability. As stated by the staff informant: "Now the rules are more adapted to our working conditions. It's still in order, but it's not as rigid as it used to be." (ST-01, 29 November 2025).

DISCUSSION

The main findings of this study show that participatory strategic change management practices have a significant role in strengthening the adaptability of public organizations. The involvement of organizational actors from the planning stage to the implementation of change allows for the creation of a sense of ownership and a shared understanding of the strategic direction of the organization. These results are in line with the view that participation is not just a technical instrument, but a social mechanism that shapes the legitimacy of change within the organization. Previous research confirms that employee participation increases the adaptive capacity of organizations through collective learning and reduced resistance to change (Boonstra & Broekhuis, 2021). Thus, these findings reinforce the argument that the adaptability of public organizations cannot be separated from the quality of participatory processes that are built in a sustainable manner.

The aspect of continuous change communication also emerged as a key factor in increasing organizational adaptability. This study found that dialogical and consistent communication allows organizations to respond to the dynamics of change more flexibly. Communication not only functions as a means of conveying information, but also as a space for negotiating meaning between leaders and members of the organization. This is in line with the findings of Lewis and Sahay (2021) who stated that effective change communication contributes to the formation of collective sensemaking in organizations. The theoretical implications of these findings suggest that communication needs to be positioned as a strategic capability, not just a supporting activity in change management.

Adaptive leadership is proven to play a central role in bridging the demands of strategic change with the operational realities of public

organizations. The results show that leaders who are able to adapt their leadership style to changing situations tend to be more effective in maintaining stability while encouraging innovation. These findings reinforce the perspective that adaptive leadership requires reflective skills, organizational empathy, and the courage to make decisions in uncertain conditions. International studies emphasize that adaptive leaders play a role as a manager of the tension between the bureaucratic structure and the need for organizational flexibility (Uhl-Bien & Arena, 2022). Thus, adaptive leadership becomes an essential foundation for the success of strategic change management in the public sector.

Flexibility in adjusting work policies and procedures is also the main determinant of organizational adaptability in this study. The findings show that organizations that are able to interpret policies contextually are more responsive to changes in the external environment. This flexibility does not mean ignoring regulations, but rather adjusting policy implementation to remain relevant and effective. Previous research confirms that procedural rigidity is often a major obstacle to the adaptability of public organizations (Kuhlmann & Hecke, 2021). Therefore, the findings of this study contribute to the understanding that adaptability requires a balance between regulatory compliance and managerial discretion.

If reviewed integratively, the results of this study show that organizational adaptability is the result of the dynamic interaction between strategic change management practices and public organizational contexts. Factors such as organizational culture, human resource capacity, and external environmental pressures also affect the effectiveness of strategic changes. Some findings support the initial hypothesis that contextual change practices are more effective than universal normative approaches. However, there are also indications that limited resources and bureaucratic structures can slow down the adaptation process, as also reported in a cross-sector study by Ansell and Sørensen (2021). This difference can be explained through variations in institutional context and the level of organizational readiness for change.

This research also has several limitations that need to be critically examined. Focusing on one public organization limits the generalization of findings to the context of other organizations that have different characteristics. In addition, the relatively limited number of informants, although they have met the principle of information adequacy, have the potential to not fully capture the complexity of the dynamics of organizational change. Previous research suggests the need for cross-organizational comparative studies to strengthen the external validity of qualitative findings (Boddy & Boddy, 2023). Therefore, follow-up research is recommended to involve more cases and blend qualitative approaches with quantitative methods.

Overall, this discussion emphasized that effective strategic change management in public organizations must be based on participatory practices, continuous communication, adaptive leadership, and policy flexibility. The theoretical contribution of this research lies in strengthening the perspective of organizational adaptability as a contextual and sustainable social process. In practical terms, these findings provide guidance for public organization leaders

to design change strategies that are more responsive to environmental dynamics. In addition, this study opens up space for the development of strategic change management models that are more sensitive to the local context of public organizations in developing countries. Thus, this research enriches academic discourse while providing real applicative value to contemporary public management practices (Hernes & Irgens, 2023).

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

This study concludes that the adaptability of public organizations in a dynamic environment cannot be achieved through a normative approach to strategic change management alone, but requires contextual practices that are oriented to the internal dynamics of the organization. The findings of this case study show that participatory change practices, supported by ongoing change communication, adaptive leadership, and flexibility in adjusting policies and work procedures, collectively shape an organization's adaptive capacity. These results confirm that adaptability is a sustainable process built through social interaction, organizational learning, and the ability to interpret environmental demands contextually. Thus, this research makes an empirical contribution to the development of the literature on strategic change management in the Indonesian public sector and offers practical implications for public organization leaders in designing and implementing more responsive and sustainable strategic change.

REFERENCES

Al-Haddad, S., & Kotnour, T. (2020). Organizational change management: A systematic review of approaches and processes. Journal of Organizational Change Management, 33(5), 793–812. https://doi.org/10.1108/JOCM-06-2019-0162

Ansell, C., & Sørensen, E. (2021). Governance, innovation, and public problem solving. Public Administration Review, 81(1), 3–15. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13258

Boddy, J., & Boddy, C. (2023). Organizational change research methods: Advancing qualitative rigor. Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management, 18(3), 389–404. https://doi.org/10.1108/QROM-10-2022-2356

Boonstra, J. J., & Broekhuis, M. (2021). Participation in organizational change: The dynamics of inclusion and exclusion. Journal of Change Management, 21(4), 451–469. https://doi.org/10.1080/14697017.2021.1944963

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). Thematic analysis: A practical guide. SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529734548

Burnes, B. (2020). The origins of Lewin's three-step model of change. Journal of Applied Behavioral Science, 56(1), 32–59.

Caldwell, R. (2021). Leadership and change: The role of change agents. Journal of Change Management, 21(2), 141–158.

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2021). Qualitative inquiry and research design: Choosing among five approaches (4th ed.). SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.7748/nr.25.4.3.s4

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Ismagilova, E., Aarts, G., Coombs, C., Crick, T., & Williams, M. D. (2022). Artificial intelligence and business strategy: Implications for organizational adaptability. International Journal of Information Management, 63, 102397.

Fernandez, S., & Rainey, H. G. (2021). Managing successful organizational change in the public sector. Public Administration Review, 81(3), 521–534. https://doi.org/10.1111/puar.13312

Flick, U. (2022). An introduction to qualitative research (6th ed.). SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.4135/9781529716643

Galli, B. J. (2023). Change management models: A comparative analysis and concerns. IEEE Engineering Management Review, 51(1), 92–105. https://doi.org/10.1109/EMR.2022.3208297

Guest, G., Namey, E., & Mitchell, M. (2020). Collecting and analyzing qualitative data at scale. Journal of Mixed Methods Research, 14(1), 34–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689819878223

Heifetz, R., Grashow, A., & Linsky, M. (2021). The practice of adaptive leadership: Tools and tactics for changing your organization and the world. Harvard Business Review Press.

Hernes, T., & Irgens, E. J. (2023). Adaptive organization: Theory, process, and practice. Organization Studies, 44(6), 891–909.

Kuhlmann, S., & Hecke, S. (2021). Public sector reform and organizational adaptability. Public Management Review, 23(10), 1481–1500. https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2020.1820271

Kuipers, B. S., Higgs, M., Kickert, W., Tummers, L., Grandia, J., & Van der Voet, J. (2020). The management of change in public organizations: A literature review. Public Administration, 98(3), 673–690.

Lewis, L. K., & Sahay, A. (2021). Communicating change: Sensemaking and sensegiving revisited. Management Communication Quarterly, 35(2), 151–178. https://doi.org/10.1177/0893318920987769

Meyer, C. B., & Stensaker, I. (2022). Developing capacity for change. Journal of Change Management, 22(4), 353–371.

Nowell, L. S., Norris, J. M., White, D. E., & Moules, N. J. (2021). Thematic analysis: Striving to meet the trustworthiness criteria. International Journal of Qualitative Methods, 20, 1–13.

Oreg, S., Bartunek, J. M., Lee, G., & Do, B. (2023). An affect-based model of recipients' responses to organizational change. Academy of Management Review, 48(1), 91–113. https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2021.0154

Rafferty, A. E., Jimmieson, N. L., & Armenakis, A. A. (2021). Change readiness: A multilevel review. Journal of Management, 47(2), 542–577. https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206320983583

Teece, D. J. (2020). Organizational resilience in the face of uncertainty: Dynamic capabilities and strategy. California Management Review, 62(2), 115–142. https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619899386

Uhl-Bien, M., & Arena, M. (2022). Leadership for organizational adaptability. The Leadership Quarterly, 33(4), 101612.

Vakola, M., & Petrou, P. (2023). Tracing the process of change: A qualitative study of employee experiences. European Management Journal, 41(3), 456–468. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.emj.2022.10.004

Yin, R. K. (2022). Case study research and applications: Design and methods (7th ed.). SAGE Publications. https://doi.org/10.1177/10963480211069266